Case 2:20-cv-02033-KJM-JDP Document 16 Filed 03/18/21 Page 1 of 3

CLP	1	Joseph E. Addiego III (CA SBN 169522) Frederick A. Haist (CA SBN 211322) DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP		
	2	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800		
	3	505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 276-6500		
	4	Facsimile: (415) 276-6599		
	5	Email: joeaddiego@dwt.com frederickhaist@dwt.com		
	6	Attorneys for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A		
	7			
	8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	10	Mary Valdez,	Case No. 2:20-cv-02033-KJM-JDP	
	11	•		
NE]	12	Plaintiff,	FIFTH STIPULATION BETWEEN J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.	
1AI	13	V.	AND MARY VALDEZ TO EXTEND CHASE'S TIME TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT AND ORDER	
REI	14	J.P.Morgan Chase Bank, N.A.,	THE COMPLAINT AND ORDER	
L L	15	Defendant.		
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	16			
	17			
VIS	18			
DA	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			

Case 2:20-cv-02033-KJM-JDP Document 16 Filed 03/18/21 Page 2 of 3

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26

27

28

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

1

Plaintiff Mary Valdez filed this action on October 12, 2020. Ms. Valdez served Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. on October 13, 2020, making Chase's response originally due on November 3, 2020. Based upon the allegations in the Complaint, Chase requested and Ms. Valdez initially granted Chase until December 1, 2020 to investigate the claims, evaluate early settlement, and determine its response to the Complaint, and granted another extension to January 11, 2021, February 12, 2021 and again to March 19, 2021.

The parties have tentatively reached a settlement in the case that would eliminate the need for further filings and Court proceedings if Chase has additional time to respond to the Complaint. The parties are negotiating the language in a settlement agreement and hope to have that finalized in the next few weeks.

The parties stipulate under Local Rule 144, with the Court's approval, that Chase's time to respond to the Complaint is extended 31 days to April 19, 2021. This is the fifth extension Ms. Valdez has granted to Chase. The extension will not affect any other date in the case, because the Court continued the Scheduling Conference to April 8, 2021.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: March 16, 2021

Respectfully submitted,
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

By: /s/ Joseph E. Addiego III

Joseph E. Addiego III

Frederick A. Haist

Attorneys for JPMorgan Chase Bank,

N.A.

Case 2:20-cv-02033-KJM-JDP Document 16 Filed 03/18/21 Page 3 of 3

	1	DATED: March 16, 2021	MARTIN & BONTRAGER, APC	
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	2		By: /s/ G. Thomas Martin, III	
	3		G. Thomas Martin, III	
	4		Nicholas J. Bontrager Attorneys for Mary Valdez	
	5		Attorneys for iviary valuez	
	6	<u>ORDER</u>		
	7	Pursuant to the parties' representations, the court grants another extension		
	8	for defendant Chase Bank to respond to plaintiff's complaint. The new deadline is		
	9	April 19, 2021. Accordingly, the Initial Scheduling Conference scheduled for		
	10	April 8, 2021 is reset to May 20, 2021 at 2:30 p.m. and a Joint Status Report is to		
	11	be filed with the court no less than fourteen (14) days prior to the Status		
	12	Conference.		
	13	This order resolves ECF No. 15.		
	14	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	15	DATED: March 18, 2021.		
	16		100 00 10	
	17		Mulle	
	18		CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
	19		·	
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			